



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,577	03/20/2006	Ronan Toulemon	11345/117001	1356

22511 7590 08/11/2009  
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.  
TWO HOUSTON CENTER  
909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500  
HOUSTON, TX 77010

EXAMINER
----------

BELCHER, HERMAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2448

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/11/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com  
buta@oshaliang.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/517,577	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TOULEMONT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HERMAN BELCHER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2448	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/06/2009</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The action is in response to amendment filed 05/06/2009.
2. Claim 6 has been cancelled
3. Claims 1-4, 7-10 have been amended.
4. Claims 1-5, 7-10 are pending.
5. Claims 1-5, 7-10 are rejected.
6. This **Action** is made **FINAL**.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

7. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 05/06/2009. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### ***Response to Amendment***

8. The objection to the specification regarding foreign priority information is withdrawn as corrections have been submitted.
9. The objection to the claims 1-4, 6-10 is withdrawn as claims 1-4 and 7-10 have been amended and claim 6 has been cancelled.
10. The rejection of claims 2, 5, and 6 under U.S.C. 112 is withdrawn as claims 2 and 5 have been amended to overcome rejection and claim 6 has been cancelled.

Art Unit: 2448

11. Applicants' arguments filed 05/06/2009 have been fully considered but they are moot in light of the amended claims 1-4 and 7-10.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

13. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by David Michael Geshwind (US Patent No. 6507872 B1, referred herein after Geshwind).

**As per claim 1, Geshwind discloses a method of transmission, from a transmission center to digital television decoders (col. 4, lines 16-22), of an application (on-line catalog) made up of a set of files containing data (content) together constituting interactive pages, each interactive page having a displayable content comprising a main file and included components, wherein the included components are executed using inclusion links to obtain an entire interactive page, wherein the set of files comprises navigation links referencing a main file of an interactive (interactive) page that is of higher or lower depth, a home page (home page) having a 0 depth level (level 0), a level 1 page (level 1)**

Art Unit: 2448

**being a page that can be called up through a navigation link from this page of depth 0, and more generally a page of depth n (i.e. level 4, fig. 13) being a page that can be called up with a minimum of n navigation links from the home page of depth 0, (col. 23, lines 33-67 & col. 24, lines 1-10, fig. 13) and (col. 5, lines 58-67) the method comprising:**

- receiving the set of files (col. 1, lines 43-67) necessary for the construction of a plurality of interactive (interactive) pages comprising the main file (home page/TOC) and the included components (graphics/icon), wherein the set of files form an application (on-line catalog) or a part of the application corresponding to pages having depth levels (fig. 13, levels 1-4) lower than a predetermined level (levels 0) (col. 5, lines 48-57) and (col. 23, lines 33-67 and col. 24, lines 1-10, fig. 13) (col. 6, lines 1-27),**
- analyzing (assessment) the semantic and syntactic content (content) of the main file of the application to identify the inclusion links and the navigation links (linkages or relationship) (col. 13, lines 5-49) and (see col. 13, lines 41-49),**
- assigning a depth level to each interactive page of the application, wherein an included component and the main file associated with an interactive page comprises the same depth level (fig 13) where depth level (i.e. Lev 1 to Lev 4) are assigned to each interactive page and (col. 23, lines 46-50) where contents which might be as simple as a list of "departments" (i.e. main file) and graphics/icons (i.e. components) are at the same depth level,**

Art Unit: 2448

**- ranking each of the interactive pages by depth level, wherein the home page (home page) of the application (on-line catalog) has a depth level of 0 (Level 0) and an interactive page comprising a depth level of n (i.e. fig. 13, level 4) is a page referenced using a minimum of n navigation links from the home page (col. 23, lines 33-67 & co. 24, lines 1-10, fig. 13) where level 4 comprising a depth of level of 4 and is a page referenced using a minimum of 4 navigation links from the home page,**

**- constructing transmission modules, wherein the files necessary for the construction of a complete interactive page and corresponding included components, are included in one or more transmission modules (col. 5, lines 48-57) where separate communication connection (logical data construct) is established or delivery of a single file comprising a document or "page" or multiple documents from the same source to the same destination and (col. 23, lines 50-61 and col. 24, lines 1-10).**

**As per claim 2, claim 1 incorporated and Geshwind discloses further comprising:**

**- defining a transmission profile comprising transmission order instructions providing that each interactive page and corresponding included components are assigned and transmitted with a priority level (col. 25, lines 55-61 and col. 26, lines 1-4) where for particular user or site, deliveries (i.e. transmitted) may be prioritized (i.e. priority level) and where generally people may want to get their web-page text fast, make a decision (i.e. order instructions), and wait for pictures (i.e. components) (col. 10, lines 22-32, fig. 13),**

**- transmitting the modules with a frequency which is dependent on the order of**

Art Unit: 2448

**priorities defined in the transmission profile** (col. 21, lines 48-60) where document being viewed would have highest priority within the selected document and (col. 12, lines 29-40) where weight can be assigned based on frequency of inclusion of portion (i.e. the frequency of transmitting modules is dependent on the weighting or priority).

**As per claim 3, claim 2 incorporated and Geshwind discloses further comprising: allocating a level of dynamism to the transmission modules wherein the transmission modules comprising interactive pages that are modified more often than others are allocated a greater level of dynamism than the transmission modules comprising interactive pages that are modified less often** (col. 12, lines 41-67) where the general principle for Probabilistic Predictive Weighting is the allocating a level of dynamism where files that are more likely the next item that the user will want to access is to weight more heavily than files that are less likely the next item that the user will want to access (thus the transmission modules wherein the transmission modules comprising interactive pages that are modified more often than others are allocated a greater level of dynamism than the transmission modules comprising interactive pages that are modified less often).

**As per claim 4, claim 2 incorporated and Geshwind discloses wherein the priority level is a decreasing function of the depth of the interactive page** (col. 23, lines 51-67 and col. 24, lines 1-10, fig. 13) where level 1 is accessed then level 2 thus priority

Art Unit: 2448

level is a decreasing function of the depth of the interactive page.

**As per claim 5, claim 3 incorporated and Geshwind discloses wherein the priority level is an increasing function of the dynamism** (col. 21, lines 40-61) where section of document viewed would have highest priority within the selected document and where document pyramidization would be (re-) structured so that information describing the details of the currently viewed section would be sent before (or, at least with higher weighting or priority) information describing the other parts of the document.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over David Michael Geshwind (US Patent No. 6507872 B1, referred herein after Geshwind) in view of Weidong Mao et al. (US Patent No 6886178 B1, referred herein after Mao).

**As per claim 7, claim 1 incorporated Geshwind does not explicitly disclose further comprising: selectively modifying URL access links for navigation or for**



Art Unit: 2448

**inclusion in at least one interactive page to render the entire application or at least a first part of the application accessible in a transmission mode, and to render a second part of the application accessible through a return path.**

**However, Mao discloses further comprising: selectively modifying URL access links for navigation (navigate) or for inclusion in at least one interactive page (desired web page) to render (displayed) the entire application (broadcast HTML page) (col. 7. 41-54) or at least a first part of the application accessible in a transmission mode, and to render a second part of the application accessible through a return path (return path, Mao, col. 2, lines 33-65) where when icon is selected, page can be accessed via return path and be displayed.**

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Mao's method of navigating a desired page to display the broadcast HTML page and selecting an icon via a return path to display the second part of the broadcast HTML page to Geshwind's method because this would allow the entire application to be rendered and displayed.

**As per claim 9, claim 1 incorporated and Geshwind does not explicitly disclose further comprising: modifying the application to include software instructions for managing a cache memory of a digital decoder configured to receive the application, wherein the software instructions are configured to: identify the**

Art Unit: 2448

**navigation links between a current interactive page displayed and interactive pages referenced by the navigation links of the current interactive page, and load, into the cache memory, said interactive pages referenced by the current interactive page and corresponding included components.**

**However, Mao discloses further comprising:**

**- modifying the application to include software instructions for managing a cache memory of a digital decoder configured to receive the application** (col. 3, lines 20-41) where the HTML pages (i.e. application) may be accessed (i.e. instructions) directly from the rotating carousel or, depending on the amount of memory in the settop (i.e. digital decoder), some or all of the HTML pages may be locally stored in a high-speed cache memory in the settop for faster access), **wherein the software instructions are configured to:**

**- identify the navigation links between a current interactive page displayed and interactive pages referenced by the navigation links of the current interactive page (web pages), and load (stored), into the cache memory (cache memory), said interactive pages referenced by the current interactive page and corresponding included components** (col. 3, lines 28-59) where viewer can navigate among the HTML pages.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Mao's method of managing a cache

Art Unit: 2448

memory of a digital decoder configured to receive HTML pages which can be navigated to Geshwind's method because this would allow for faster access of HTML pages.

16. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over David Michael Geshwind (US Patent No. 6507872 B1, referred herein after Geshwind) and Weidong Mao et al. (US Patent No 6886178 B1, referred herein after Mao) in view of Jeyaprakash K. Chittu et al. ((US Patent No. 2002/0107892 A1, referred herein after Chittu

**As per claim 8, claim 1 incorporated and Geshwind and Mao discloses furthermore comprising a step: quantitatively analyzing the information contained in each file (col. 13, lines 16- 23) where assessment of document's content and relationship or linkages to the weighted document(s) are viewed,**

**However, Geshwind and Mao do not explicitly disclose as a function of the results of this analysis, deleting the interactive pages assigned a depth greater than or equal to 1 commencing with the deletion of the pages of greatest depth, until the remaining amount of data to be transmitted is equal to or less than a predefined quantitative limit.**

**However, Chittu discloses futhermore comprising a step: as a function of the results of this analysis, deleting the interactive pages assigned a depth greater**

Art Unit: 2448

**than or equal to 1 commencing with the deletion of the pages of greatest depth, until the remaining amount of data to be transmitted is equal to or less than a predefined quantitative limit** (pg. 7, par. 0147) where all parent's children (i.e. interactive pages) can be recursively deleting (i.e. commencing with the deletion of pages of greatest depth).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chittu's method of deleting parent's children recursively to Geshwind's and Mao's method because this would allow flexibility in the management of the file content and transmitted data thus improving performance.

17. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over David Michael Geshwind (US Patent No. 6507872 B1, referred herein after Geshwind) and Weidong Mao et al. (US Patent No 6886178 B1, referred herein after Mao) and Jeyaprakash K. Chittu et al. ((US Patent No. 2002/0107892 A1, referred herein after Chittu) in view of Jay Unger et al. ((US Patent No. 6230168 B1, referred herein after Unger).

**As per claim 10, claim 7 incorporated and Geshwind, Mao, and Chittu do not explicitly disclose further comprising: modifying the application to include software instructions configured to provide when accessing the second part of**

Art Unit: 2448

**the application through the return path, an automatic return to the transmission mode when a request for access to an interactive page which forms part of the transmitted pages is received.**

**However, Unger discloses further comprising: modifying the application to include software instructions configured to provide when accessing the second part of the application through the return path, an automatic return to the transmission mode when a request for access to an interactive page which forms part of the transmitted pages is received (col. 13, lines 62-67 and col. 14, lines 1-18)** where additional components request can be made of complied file even while transmitting already received components to the browser for rendering (i.e. thus request for additional components of a file does not disable the transmission mode).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Unger's method of accessing additional components of a file without disabling transmission mode to Geshwind's, Mao's, and Chittu's method because this would ensure all the pages of file are transmitted and/or received.

### ***Conclusion***

18. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2448

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HERMAN BELCHER whose telephone number is (571)270-7205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:30 AM thru 5:00 PM EST, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fermin Backer can be reached on 571-272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2448

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Herman A. Belcher

Examiner, Art Unit 2448

/FIRMIN BACKER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2448